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#### Abstract

New tubercular fulleroids are built up by using the three classical composite map operations: tripling (leapfrog $L e$ ), quadrupling (chamfering $Q$ ) and septupling (capra $C a$ ) on the trivalent Platonic solids. These transforms belong to the tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral symmetry groups and show interesting mathematical and (possible) physico-chemical properties.
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## 1 Introduction

A map $M$ is a combinatorial representation of a closed surface [1]. Several transformations (i.e., operations) on maps are known and used for various purposes.

Let us denote in a map: $v$, number of vertices; $e$, number of edges; $f$, number of faces; and $d$, vertex degree. A subscript " 0 " will mark the corresponding parameters in the parent map.

Recall some basic relations in a map [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum d v_{d}=2 e \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum s f_{s}=2 e \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $v_{d}$ and $f_{s}$ are the number of vertices of degree $d$ and number of $s$-gonal faces, respectively. The two relations are joined in the famous Euler [3] formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v-e+f=\chi(M)=2(1-g) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\chi$ being the Euler characteristic and $g$ the genus [4] of a graph (i.e., the number of handles attached to the sphere to make it homeomorphic to the surface on which the given graph is embedded; $g=0$ for a planar graph and 1 for a toroidal graph). Positive/negative $\chi$ values indicate positive/negative curvature of a lattice. This formula is useful for checking the consistency of an assumed structure.

The article is organized as follows: the second section gives definitions and illustrations of the main map operations used within this work. The third section presents the opening operations leading to the tubercular structures by appropriate capping. The forth section gives a method for computing the number of Kekule valence structures of the discussed tubercular cages. Conclusions, references and an appendix will close the article.

## 2 Operations on maps

Dualization $D u$ of a map starts by locating a point in the center of each face (Fig. 1a) [1,5]. Next, two such points are joined if their corresponding faces share a common edge (Fig. 1b).

It is the (Poincaré) dual $D u(M)$. The vertices of $D u(M)$ represent the faces of $M$ and vice-versa [1]. Thus the following relations exist:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D u(M): v=f_{0} ; e=e_{0} ; f=v_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dual of the dual returns the original map: $D u(D u(M))=M$. Tetrahedron is self dual while the other Platonic polyhedra form pairs: $D u($ Cube $)=$ Octahedron; $D u$ (Dodecahedron $)=$ Icosahedron (Fig. 2). It is also known the Petrie dual [1].

Polygonal $P_{r}$ capping ( $r=3,4,5$ ) of a face is achieved as follows [6]: add a new vertex in the center of the face. Put $r-3$ points on the boundary edges (Fig. 3). Connect


Fig. 1 Dualization of a fullerene patch


Fig. 2 The duals of the five Platonic polyhedra


Fig. 3 Polygonal capping of a fullerene patch; $\mathrm{P}_{3}(\mathbf{a}) ; \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathbf{b})$; and $\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathbf{c})$
the central point with one vertex (the end points included) on each edge. In this way the parent face is covered by triangles $(r=3)$, quadrilaterals $(r=4)$ and pentagons $(r=5)$. The $P_{3}$ operation is also called stellation or (centered) triangulation. When all the faces of a map are thus operated, it is referred to as an omnicapping $P_{r}$ operation (see Fig. 4). The resulting map shows the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(M): v=v_{0}+(r-3) e_{0}+f_{0} ; \quad e=r e_{0} ; \quad f=s_{0} f_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the Euler's relation holds.
Maps transformed by the above operations form dual pairs:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D u\left(P_{3}(M)\right)=\operatorname{Le}(M)  \tag{6}\\
D u\left(P_{4}(M)\right)=\operatorname{Me}(\operatorname{Me}(M))  \tag{7}\\
D u\left(P_{5}(M)\right)=\operatorname{Sn}(M) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Vertex multiplication ratio by this dualization is always:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(D u) / v_{0}=d_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (6) to (8) enable the construction of Archimedes/Catalan objects, when applied on the Platonic solids [1,7]. The appearing operations will be detailed below. Equation 11 comes out from: $v(D u)=f\left(P_{r}(M)\right)=s_{0} f_{0}=d_{0} v_{0}$ (Eqs. 4 and 5).


Fig. 4 Polygonal capping of the Dodecahedron by $P_{3}(D)(\mathbf{a}) ; P_{4}(D)=\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)^{*}(\mathbf{b})$; and $P_{5}(D)=\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)^{*}$ (c) (*) Symbols in the brackets are identical to those used in De La Vaissiere et al. [7] for Catalan objects (i.e., duals of the Archimedean solids); for other naming of the operations see HART (2004)


Fig. 5 Medial of a fullerene patch

Note that all the operation parameters herein presented refer to regular maps (i.e., having all vertices and faces of the same valence/size). Figure 4 gives examples of the $P_{r}$ operations realization.

Medial Me of a map is achieved ${ }^{1}$ by putting a new vertex in the middle of each original edge (Fig. 5a). Join two vertices if the original edges span an angle (and are consecutive within a rotation path around their common vertex in $M$ ). Medial is a 4-valent graph and $M e(M)=M e(D u(M))$, as illustrated in Fig. 5b.

The transformed parameters are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M e(M): v=e_{0} ; \quad e=2 e_{0} ; \quad f=f_{0}+v_{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Medial operation rotates parent $s$-gonal faces by $\pi / s$. Points in the medial represent original edges, thus this property can be used for topological analysis of edges in the parent polyhedron. Similarly, the points in dual give information on the topology of parent faces. Figure 6 illustrates the medial operation performed on the five Platonic polyhedra.

Truncation $\operatorname{Tr}$ is achieved ${ }^{1}$ by cutting off the neighborhood of each vertex by a plane close to the vertex, such that it intersects each edge incident to the vertex (Fig. 7).

Truncation is similar to the medial, the transformed parameters being:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}(M): v=2 e_{0}=d_{0} v_{0} ; \quad e=3 e_{0} ; \quad f=f_{0}+v_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 6 The medials of the five Platonic polyhedra

(b)


Fig. 7 Truncation of a patch of a fullerene


Fig. 8 The truncation of the Icosahedron

This was the main operation used by Archimedes in building up the well-known 13 solids [7]. Figure 8 illustrates the realization of this operation on the icosahedron.

Snub $S n$ is a composite operation that can be written as [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sn}(M)=\operatorname{Dg}(M e(M e(M)))=D u\left(P_{5}(M)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D g$ means the inscribing diagonals ${ }^{1}$ in the quadrilaterals resulting by $M e(M e(M))$. The true dual of the snub is the $P_{5}(M)$ transform: $D u(\operatorname{Sn}(M))=$ $P_{5}(M)$. Similar to the medial operation, $\operatorname{Sn}(M)=\operatorname{Sn}(D u(M))$. In case of $M=T$, the snub $\operatorname{Sn}(M)=I$.

Of chemical interest is the easy transformation of the snub (i.e., regular pentavalent graph) into the leapfrog transform (i.e., regular trivalent graph-see below), by deleting the edges of the triangle joining any three parent faces (Fig. 9, in black). This is particularly true in the snub of trivalent parent maps.


Fig. 9 Snub of Dodecahedron; * Symbols in the brackets are identical to those used in ref. [7] for the Archimedean solids


Fig. 10 Stellation (a) and dualization (b) of a patch of a fullerene

The transformed parameters are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sn}(M): v=s_{0} f_{0}=d_{0} v_{0} ; \quad e=5 e_{0} ; \quad f=v_{0}+2 e_{0}+f_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiplication ratio is $v / v_{0}=d_{0}$, the same as for $\operatorname{Le}(M)$, both of them involving the dualization (see below).

Leapfrog Le is a composite operation [8-12] which can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L e(M)=D u\left(P_{3}(M)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(D u(M)) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence of stellation (i.e., $P_{3}$ )-dualization rotates the parent $s$-gonal faces by $\pi / s$. Leapfrog operation is illustrated, for a fullerene patch, in Fig. 10.

Quadrupling $Q$ (i.e., chamfering [13]) is another composite operation, achieved by the sequence [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(M)=E_{-}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{P_{3}}\left(P_{3}(M)\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{-}$means the (old) edge deletion (the blue lines, in Fig. 11) of the truncation $T r_{P_{3}}$ of each central vertex introduced by $P_{3}$ capping. $Q$ insulates the parent faces always by hexagons.

Capra Ca-the goat, is the Romanian corresponding of the leapfrog English children game. It is a composite operation $[6,14,15]$ necessarily coming from the Goldberg's [13] multiplying factor $m$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right) ; \quad a \geq b ; \quad a+b>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 11 Chamfering of a patch of a fullerene


Fig. 12 Chiral lattices performed by the capra Ca operation
that predicts $m$ (in a 3-valent map) as follows: $L e:(1,1) ; m=3 ; Q:(2,0) ; m=4$; $C a:(2,1) ; m=7$.

The transformation can be written as $[6,15]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C a(M)=\operatorname{Tr}_{P_{5}}\left(P_{5}(M)\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\operatorname{Tr}_{P_{5}}$ meaning the truncation of each central vertex introduced by $P_{5}$ capping. $C a$ insulates any face of $M$ by its own hexagons, which are not shared with any old face (in contrast to Le or $Q$ ). It is an intrinsic chiral operation (it rotates the parent edges by $\pi /(3 s / 2)$ —Figs. 12, 13).

The operation is illustrated in Fig. 13, along with Le and $Q$ ones; the cages are also given in the Schlegel projection [16]. Note that $C a$ is $S_{1}$ operation, differing from its $S_{2}$ twin operation [15].

## 3 Opening operation

The above operations $\Omega(M)$ can continue by an $E_{n}$ homeomorphic transformation $E_{n}(\Omega(M))$ of the boundary edges of the parent-like faces thus resulting open maps with all polygons of the same size. In case of $E_{1}$ operation the size is: $9(L e) ; 8$ $(Q)$ and $7(C a)$. This opening transformation is illustrated in Fig. 14 in the case of dodecahedron D .

The opening faces by $E_{1}$ operation are "zig-zag" tubule junctions. They can be further capped by all-pentagon caps $\mathrm{C}_{5}$, as illustrated in Fig. 15 and also in Appendix.


Fig. 13 Molecular realization of the three main composite map operations, given both as 3D objects and Schlegel projections (the bottom row)


Fig. 14 Opening of the Dodecahedron D transformed by: leapfrog $L e$, quadrupling $Q$ and capra $C a$


Fig. 15 Capping by $C_{5}$ Dodecahedron "halves" of the open Dodecahedron transformed by: $L e, Q$ and $C a$, given both as 3D objects and Schlegel projections (the bottom row)
Table 1 Parameters of simple Hückel theory in cages $C_{5}(E(\Omega(\mathrm{D}))$ ) and their leapfrog transforms

|  | Cage | v | Homo -2 | Homo -1 | Homo | Lumo | Lumo +1 | Lumo +2 | Gap | $\mathrm{x}_{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{-}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $C_{5}(E(\operatorname{Le}(\mathrm{D})))$ | 180 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.123 | 96 | 0 | 84 |
| 2 | $L e\left(C_{5}(E(\operatorname{Le}(\mathrm{D})))\right)$ | 540 | 0.242 | 0.242 | 0.198 | 0.198 | 0.198 | 0.198 | 0.000 | 220 | 1 | 199 |
| 3 | $C_{5}(E(Q(\mathrm{D})))$ | 200 | 0.425 | 0.425 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.000 | 103 | 4 | 93 |
| 4 | $\operatorname{Le}\left(C_{5}(E(Q(\mathrm{D})))\right)$ | 600 | 0.457 | 0.457 | 0.457 | -0.171 | -0.171 | -0.171 | 0.628 | 300 | 0 | 300 |
| 5 | $C_{5}(E(C a(\mathrm{D})))$ | 260 | 0.385 | 0.385 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 133 | 0 | 127 |
| 6 | $L e\left(C_{5}(E(C a(\mathrm{D})))\right)$ | 780 | 0.294 | 0.294 | 0.294 | -0.172 | -0.172 | -0.172 | 0.466 | 390 | 0 | 390 |
| 7 | Dress | 260 | 0.238 | 0.238 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.000 | 133 | 0 | 127 |
| 8 | $L e($ Dress $)$ | 780 | 0.371 | 0.371 | 0.371 | -0.099 | -0.099 | -0.099 | 0.470 | 390 | 0 | 390 |



Fig. 16 Dress' cage of 260 vertices, in 3D (a) and Schlegel projection (b)
The all-pentagon capping $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ could be important in case of the dodecahedron, since $\mathrm{C}_{20}$ has been identified among the products of the fullerene synthesis [17]. Thus, a cage like $C_{5}(E(\operatorname{Le}(\mathrm{D}))) ; v=180$, would be available by the self-assembling of "dodecahedron halves", fragments possible appearing in such a synthesis.

Our CageVersatile program [18] enables performing the map operations on surfaces of any genus and vertex degree lattice.

Noticeable about the cages $C_{5}(E(\Omega(\mathrm{D})))$ is that, in the light of simple Hückel theory, they are all open cages, excepting the leapfrog transform $C_{5}(E(L e(\mathrm{D})))$, which is closed (pseudo-closed shell) [19]. By leapfrogging these cages one obtains properly closed shell cages excepting $L e\left(C_{5}(E(L e(\mathrm{D})))\right.$ ), which is open shell (Table 1). For comparison, we included the cage of Dress (Fig. 16), built up on 260 atoms, and being (topological) isomer of $C_{5}(E(C a(\mathrm{D})))$.

Note that the cage $C_{5}(E(C a(\mathrm{D}))) ; v=260$ was first designed by Dr. Sarah J. Austin working in the Lab. Of Prof. P. W. Fowler, Exeter University, U.K., in his Ph.D. For Cube and Tetrahedron see the figures below.

## 4 Kekulé structure count

The number of Kekulé valence structures of some of the above fullerenes is calculated and given in the top of the figures. For evaluating the number of Kekulé valence structures, we used the standard graph-theoretical notations. Let $G$ be any graph with $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ being the set of its vertices and edges, respectively. For each $V_{\text {cut }} \subseteq V(G)$, $G-V_{\text {cut }}$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by cutting of the vertices in $V_{\text {cut }}$ and all edges adjacent to them. For each $E_{\text {cut }} \subseteq E(G)$, by $G-E_{\text {cut }}$ we denote the graph obtained by deleting all the edges in $E_{c u t}$. For any (finite) set $S,|S|$ denotes the number of elements in $S$. Denote by $K(G)$ the set of all Kekule structures of $G$.

To obtain the Kekulé structure count KSC, we used the divide et impera strategy. Note that, by cutting some edges, the graph disintegrates in two components. Denote the "interior" component of $G-E_{\text {cut }}$ by $G_{1}$ and "exterior" component by $G_{2}$. Let $X$ be any subset of $E_{\text {cut }}$. Denote by $K=K(G)$ the set of all Kekulé (geometrical) structures of the molecule and its cardinality (i.e., KSC ) as $K S C=K S C(G)=|K|$. Denote by $K_{X}$ all the Kekulé structures having all the edges in $X$ double and all edges in $E_{c u t}-X$ single. Clearly, $K$ is a disjoint union $K=\bigcup_{X \subseteq E_{\text {cut }}} K_{X}$, hence $|K|=\sum_{X \subseteq E_{\text {cut }}}\left|K_{X}\right|$. Denote $V_{1}(X)=\left\{v_{1, i}: e_{i} \in X\right\}$ and $V_{2}(X)=\left\{v_{2, i}: e_{i} \in X\right\}$. It comes out that $\left|K_{X}\right|=\left|K\left(G_{1}-V_{1}(X)\right)\right| \cdot\left|K\left(G_{2}-V_{2}(X)\right)\right|$ and hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K S C(G)=\sum_{X \subseteq E_{\text {cut }}}\left\{k\left(G_{1}-V_{1}(X)\right) \cdot k\left(G_{2}-V_{2}(X)\right)\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (18) is the ground formula of our algorithm. It is based on the well known lemma:

Lemma 1 Let $G$ be any graph, $v \in V(G)$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ all the neighbors of $v$. Then:

$$
K S C(G)=k\left(G-v-u_{1}\right)+k\left(G-v-u_{2}\right)+\cdots+k\left(G-v-u_{k}\right) .
$$

It is generally accepted [20] that benzenoid structures having a higher KSC would be expected to be more stable molecules. We do not use here this statement and only limit to give a way for computing this number, which is not a simple task, given being the size and tessellation of these cages.

## 5 Conclusions

New tubercular fulleroids have been built up by using the three classical composite map operations: tripling (leapfrog $L e$ ), quadrupling (chamfering $Q$ ) and septupling (capra $C a$ ) on the trivalent Platonic solids. These transforms, belonging to the tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral symmetry groups, show interesting mathematical properties, as shown by their covering and Kekulé structure count. It was suggested that the cage $C_{5}(E(L e(\mathrm{D}))$ ), having disjoint dodecahedron halves could be synthesized by self-assembling of these units.

## Appendix

Objects derived from the Cube C and Tetrahedron T by $L e, Q$ and $C a$ operations. The Kekulé structures count is included.

$$
C_{5}(E(L e(\mathrm{C}))) ; \mathrm{v}=72
$$

$$
K S C=57600
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{5}(E(C a(\mathrm{C}))) ; \mathrm{v}=104 \\
\mathrm{KSC}=2165904
\end{gathered}
$$



A1. Objects derived from the Cube C
$C_{5}(E(L e(\mathrm{~T}))) ; \mathrm{v}=36$ $\mathrm{KSC}=352$


$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{5}(E(C a(\mathrm{~T}))) ; \mathrm{v}=52 \\
\mathrm{KSC}=3672
\end{gathered}
$$



## A2. Objects derived from the Tetrahedron T
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